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Mihály Munkácsy’s **Blind Milton Dictating Paradise Lost to His Daughters**: Contemporary Views

**Abstract:** At the pick of his career, Mihály Munkácsy (1844–1900) was seen in the first rank of living artists. Many of his works were acquired by American collectors, including *Blind Milton Dictating “Paradise Lost” to His Daughters*. This large work had been displayed in many European cities before it arrived in New York City to be permanently displayed at the Lenox Library, the predecessor of the New York Public Library. The article discusses the marketing and the reception of the painting in the late 19th century.
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During his best years, Mihály Munkácsy (1844–1900) was seen in the first rank of living artists. Some went as far as describing him as the greatest living artist. No other painter commanded higher prices in the United States where more than sixty of his works were acquired. One of these works was *Blind Milton Dictating ‘Paradise Lost’ to His Daughters*. Today it hangs in the Edna Barnes Salomon Room on the third floor of the New York Public Library’s Stephen A. Schwartzman Building. It is one of Munkácsy’s monumental canvas measuring seven feet high and ten feet long.

**By Way of Introduction**

The future artist was born Michael von Lieb on 20 February 1844 in the city of Munkács, in Subcarpathian Rus’, an outpost in the north-east part of the Austrian Empire [present-day Mukachevo, Transcarpathian Oblast’, Ukraine]. His childhood was traumatic as he was orphaned at the age of 8 and then taken in by an aunt who was murdered only two years later. He went to work for various carpenters and by the time he turned fourteen had received his master document in joinery. He has also distinguished himself as a draughtsman. At the age of sixteen due to poor health, Munkácsy quit his craft and moved in with an uncle who noticed his talent for drawing. He then worked for and took lessons from a Hungarian itinerant painter, Elek Szamossy (1826–1888), a portrait artist and copyist of old paintings. After going to Pest in 1863, where he was allowed to copy paintings at the National Museum, he spent half a year at the Akademie der Bildenden Künste in Vienna in 1864. Beginning in 1866 he spent two years in Munich where at the Akademie der Bildenden Künste he attended classes by Hungarian historical painter Alexander (Sándor) von Wagner (1838–1919), German muralist Wilhelm von Kaulbach (1805–1874), and German landscapist Eduard Schleich the Elder (1812–1874).

---

4 The author created a number of preparatory studies for the painting, some of which are illustrated in L. Végvári, *Katalog der Gemälde und Zeichnungen Mihály Munkácsys*, Budapest 1959. These include a free, color sketch (p. 239), as well as studies of Eva (p. 242), Judith (pp. 243–244), and Milton (p. 245).
6 A smaller version of the painting measuring 3 by 4 inches was prepared in 1878 and today is at the Hungarian National Gallery in Budapest.
He later studied at a private school for painting battle-scenes run by Adam Eugen (1817–1880).

Munkácsy first visited Paris in 1867. He met Gustave Courbet (1819–1877) there and under his influence turned towards Realism. In order to support himself financially he returned to carpentry. After 1868 he continued his education at the The Kunstakademie Düsseldorf where he was in the circle of Ludwig Knaus (1829–1910), one of the leaders of the Düsseldorf school of painting. It was also in 1868 that he changed his name from Lieb. In 1869 he became an overnight sensation with his painting The Last Day of a Condemned Man. Returning to Paris in 1872, Munkácsy married a wealthy widow, Cécile baroness de Marches [née Papier] (1845–1915), and they settled in Barbizon in 1874. Leading composers, writers, journalists, industrialists and politicians frequented both their home in Paris and their mansion in Colpach (Luxembourg).

The Business behind the Painting

Munkácsy secured a contract with Adolphe Dolphin (1806–1893) of Goupil & Cie, a leading art dealership in France of that time. Dolphin bought several of his paintings and ordered more. He also initiated the process of introducing the artist’s work to the American market through prestigious art dealer Knoedler & Co. With this contract in place Munkácsy did not have to worry about money. However, in 1877 Dolphin opted not to purchase Blind Milton Dictating Paradise Lost to His Daughters although he had commissioned the work himself. The next year, Charles Sedelmeyer (1837–1925), an Austrian

---


10 One of Sedelmeyer’s daughters was married to a Czech painter Václav Brožík (1851–1901). The NYPL has three of his paintings: Grandmother’s Birthday; The Rejected Suitor; and Rudolf II, Emperor of Germany, In the Laboratory of His Alchemist, A.D. 1576. All of these paintings are on indefinite loan to the New York Historical Society.
art dealer, collector, and publisher active in Paris from 1866, heard about the painting from the Hungarian landscapist Laszlo Páal (1846–1879), then living in Barbizon. Sedelmeyer ended up buying it for 30,000 Francs\textsuperscript{11}.

The presentation of the painting in Sedelmeyer’s salon and later the same year at the Austro-Hungarian Pavilion of the Universal Exposition in Paris\textsuperscript{12} was a great success\textsuperscript{13}. These moves led to the purchase of the painting by Robert Lenox Kennedy (1822–1887). This purchase marked the beginning of a very successful partnership between Sedelmeyer and Munkácsy which led to the conquest of the lucrative American market. Sedelmeyer added a contractual stipulation to the purchase which guaranteed that the painting remains temporarily a part of a traveling exhibition, so that the painter’s fame could be spread throughout the most important European cities\textsuperscript{14}.

The price Kennedy paid for the painting of the century was 200,000 FRF\textsuperscript{15}. R.L. Kennedy was a collector and banker, most successful as the President of the Bank of Commerce in New York (1868–1878). He was a nephew of James Lenox (1800–1880), the philanthropist and the founder of the Lenox Library to which Kennedy donated the painting in 1879\textsuperscript{16}. Incorporated in 1870, opened in 1877, the Lenox Library, along with the Astor Library was the predecessor of The

\textsuperscript{11} Sedelmeyr also gave Munkácsy a ten-year contract during which he guaranteed the artist an annual compensation of at least 100,000 Francs, sharing the revenues from entrance fees to exhibitions of his works in large cities as well as royalties from reproductions. Sedelmeyer was acquiring all paintings produced by Munkácsy and the right to reproduce them, while the pictorial themes (and the size of paintings) were to be determined according to mutual agreement. See: C. Huemer, Charles Sedelmeyer’s Theatricality: Art and Speculation in Late 19th-Century Paris, [in:] Artwork through the Market, The Past and Present, ed. J. Bakoš, Bratislava 2004, pp. 117–118. Clearly pleased with this contract Munkácsy soon painted a portrait of Ch. Sedelmeyer, 1879, housed in Munkácsy Museum, Békéscsaba, Hungary.

\textsuperscript{12} It was also at the Paris Universal Exposition that a Russian sculptor Matvei Afanas’evich Chizhov (1838–1916) received the Medal of the Third Class for a group of his works among which there was the Cherezvushka/Frolisome Girl (1873), a copy of which is held by the New York Public Library, and it stands just outside the Edna Salomon Room, see: W.W. Story, Fine Arts, [in:] Reports of the United States Commissioners to the Paris Universal Exposition, 1878. Vol. 2, Washington 1880, p. 143, 175. In French and English, he was listed as M.A. Tchijoff (or Chijoff) and Frolisome Girl was given as La Petite Folâtre.

\textsuperscript{13} Phillip Gilbert Hamerton went as far as claiming that “the Exhibition of 1878 gave him world-wide fame”. See: Hamerton’s Continental Painting at Paris in 1878, “Princeton Review” January-June 1879, vol. 1, p. 492.

\textsuperscript{14} C. Huemer, op. cit., pp. 117–118.

\textsuperscript{15} Foreign Notes, “The New York Times” 17 March 1879, p. 3; Foreign Notes, “Detroit Free Press” 21 March 1879, p. 6. With the exchange rate at that time roughly at 2 to 1 ratio this was about 100,000 USD.

\textsuperscript{16} Lenox Library: A Guide to the Paintings and Sculptures Exhibited to the Public, New York 1882, p. 22. The painting was a fitting addition to the Milton’s collection held at the Lenox Library. Among 191 publications of Milton’s works that the library held there were many editions of Paradise Lost, including the first one (1667). See: Contributions to a Catalogue of the Lenox Library. No. 6: Works of Milton, etc., New York 1881.
New York Public Library. As of March 1879, the Lenox Library at 1001 5th Ave (between 70th and 71st streets) had 145 paintings and 15 sculptures on exhibit, available for viewing by the public from Monday to Friday 11 A.M. to 4 P.M. with tickets obtained in advance.17

Less than a month after a “New York Times” article suggested that the city was waiting with some curiosity to see the painting, it was showcased on the Lenox Library gallery’s south wall. A bronze bust of Munkácsy by Louis-Ernest Barrias (1841–1905), a French sculptor of the Beaux-Arts school that Sedelmeyer donated to the library was placed at the entrance to the gallery.20 In order to promote the painting in New York, ads in “The New York Times” announced that the exhibition of paintings and sculptures at the Lenox Library were accessible for free on Thanksgiving Day 1879 and on every weekday in December, except for Christmas Day. In both instances the ads underscored that Munkácsy’s **Blind Milton** has been placed in the gallery.22 During November and December of 1879, the number of visitors, admitted solely on application, amounted to 13,266.23

---

**The Praise**

Even before the painting reached the Lenox Library it was noted that

the Paris papers say it is the best piece of painting the century has produced and are now making superhuman efforts to conceal their rage because the United States has stepped in and carried off the prize.24

---


20 *Artists and Their Works…*, p. 5.

21 *Tenth Annual Report for the Year 1879 of the Trustees of the Lenox Library of the City of New York*, Albany 1880, p. 7. The bust of Munkácsy was later housed at the Woodstock Branch. Today the bust and the painting are together in the Edna Barnes Salomon Room.
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The unsigned author of a substantial piece on the painting which appeared in “The New York Times” noted that it was already so well known in etchings and wood-cuts that a description was not necessary.

This has already been done by the European press to a degree bordering on satiety; the cry has been taken up on this side of the Atlantic, and the picture extolled as one of the marvels of the century. Seldom, indeed, has a picture been ‘managed’ better.

The ‘management’ of the painting included the issuing of a pamphlet containing an etching of a self-portrait of Munkácsy, as well as reprints of enthusiastic praise in the European press. Not surprisingly, the master marketer Sedelmeyer himself coordinated, published, and released the pamphlet just in time for the presentation of the painting in London, England. The pamphlet also included an introductory text on Munkácsy by Gotthold Neuda (1846–1918) who among other admirations stated that

Munkácsy is not only an artist of great individuality; he is also the creator of a genre; he is original, not only by his style of painting, but much more so by the choice of his subjects and by the characteristic comprehension of his times, the spirit of which is reflected in his works (p. 3).

Reprints of critical acclaim from newspapers were divided into four sections. A lengthy article by a poet, playwright and essayist Émile Bergerat (1854–1923) which appeared in “Journal Official de la République Française” (15 September 1878) preceded twenty-two reviews from the French press. There were also (only!) two reprints from newspapers published in Budapest followed by seven articles from the German press. The longer Hungarian piece was by a painter, graphic artist and art critic Gusztáv Kelety (1834–1902) while among the German texts there was one by a prolific writer Fanny Lewald (1811–1889). The exhibition of the painting at the Vienna Künstlerhaus yielded eight reviews included in the booklet. They were headed by an editor and writer Emmerich Ranzoni (1823–1898) who in his piece published in “Neue Freie Presse” (9 January 1879) stated among others that:

Several of the most dainty critics of France have openly confessed that, in the presence of this incomparable creation of the art of painting, they were embarrassed how they should utter the smallest word of blame. The reason of this rare unanimity is, that the painting gives expression, in the grand style of the art to one of the profoundest thoughts of the modern

---


26 Opinions of the Continental Press on Michael Munkácsy and his Latest Picture Milton Dictating “Paradise Lost to his Daughters”, Paris 1879. Articles from the French press appeared in their original language but everything else that was not in English was translated for the booklet into English.
theory of life, and in a manner that conquers all hearts. It is a historical picture, and in keeping with the ideas of the most independent and matures thinkers of the present age, a picture of the history of culture.

In the early June of 1878 “The Daily Arkansas Gazette” reprinted correspondence from Paris which first appeared in the New York Post. It was noted that the poetic side of the Munkaczy’s nature have [sic!] never been shown so fully as in his delineation of England’s blind poet. This work is full of highest inspiration. “The New York Times” special correspondent in Paris wrote in early July 1878 that Milton with His Daughters has found favor with everyone, and more especially with the English, who hitherto have not liked him. This correspondent also suggested that it was the best of Munkácsy’s paintings to date, and stated that the painter while not departing in the least from his usual style of extreme high lights and gray tones, has warmed up the latter very considerably and has introduced a very careful gradation in them. The painting also received praises from William Wetmore Story (1819–1896), an art critic and artist himself who served as one of the United States Commissioners to the Paris Exposition. He stated among others that the painting is simple and direct in character, with great truth to nature and to the highest sentiment in the attitudes and expression of all the figures, masterly in its free painting, and striking in the values of color. The tones are a little black, but everything is relatively in its place. Nothing cries out for notice, and the main interest is concentrated, as it should be, in the figures.

The Criticism

While the reception of the painting appeared to be largely positive (at least from what Sedelmeyer splendidly highlighted in the booklet), the raves were not universal. In early November 1879 “The New York Herald” substantial piece on the painting’s literary and artistic qualities stated that from the first standpoint it is far from satisfactory, while from the second it is, notwithstanding its faults, a great and noble work. The unsigned critic based his ‘literary’ argument on a monumental work on Milton by David Mather Mason (1822–1907),

28 GAR, op. cit., p. 5.
29 W.W. Story, op. cit., p. 76.
a Scottish literary critic and a professor at the Edinburgh University31. He argued that Milton’s eldest daughter could not write and the two younger ones were simply too young at the time Milton wrote Paradise Lost to be in a position to help him. The author of the article in “The New York Herald” also added that the comfortable and elegant, if not rich accessories (...) with which the painter has surrounded his characters (...) are in no way to be justified as being a correct representation of the fittings of the home of a man who sold the poem he was composing among them for 5.00 GBP32.

Clarence Cook (1828–1900), a graduate of Harvard College and an influential American author and art critic probably penned this piece33. In his later book he repeated the same criticism and added a harsh assessment of Munkácsy’s depiction of the scene.

It would be idle to push this sort of criticism too far in dealing with such a painter as Munkácsy. He cares nothing for such things and had probably never heard the name of Milton before he was asked by the agent who exploits his talent to paint it for the market34.

Similar doubts were expressed by Theodore Child (1846–1892) who was well-known in literary circles and lived for twenty years in Paris where he was an intimate of the greatest writers and artists of France, including Munkácsy. It was argued that Child’s judgment upon art was especially good, and his essays upon paintings and sculptures and etchings which have appeared in the Sun and elsewhere were notable both for matter and for manner35. In what was possibly his last larger work before he died prematurely of cholera during a visit to Persia, Child had this to say about Munkácsy and his work:

Personally, Munkácsy is a most good-hearted and amiable man, simple, unpretentious, but far from brilliant. He has very little to say for himself, and if the truth were known I dare say he is perfectly ignorant both about Milton and about his Paradise Lost, although such is the subject of his best picture36.

31 The Life of John Milton: Narrated in Connexion with the Political, Ecclesiastical, and Literary History of His Time. 7 vols., Cambridge-London 1859–1894. Five volumes of this work had been published by the time Munkácsy’s work was exhibited in New York. Volume 5 covers 1654–1660. Milton who hot totally blind in 1652, started working on Paradise Lost about 1658 (with some parts most likely written earlier) and finished in about 1663.
32 Fine Arts..., p. 6.
35 From his obituary published in “San Francisco Call” 18 November 1892, p. 8.
36 T. Child, Art and Criticism: Monographs and Studies, New York 1892, p. 158. In light of what Child had to say (whether he was right or not) it is interesting to mention that Munkácsy’s depiction of this historical event has added to what became a part of the poet’s mythology. See: R. Flannagan, John Milton: A Short Introduction, Oxford-Malden, Massachusetts 2002, p. 29. Among painters who
More criticism of Munkácsy’s painting was offered by Philip Gilbert Hamerton (1834–1894), an English artist who eventually devoted his life to writing art criticism. In his works he was both concerned with the purely historical aspect of art in which fact is of the first importance and in the artist’s imagination. Writing about the former he compared Munkácsy’s Milton to a work by a leading, fashionable portrait painter of the late 18th century, George Romney (1734–1802) who painted Milton and His Daughters. Hamerton preferred Romney’s very simple room setting to that of Munkácsy’s painting with just a small dose of doubt as to whether Milton’s furniture was in fact so extremely simple. He also added that

the Milton of Munkácsy is thoughtful, but his form is too small and shrivelled; it has no reminiscence of the manly beauty of his prime. [...] Both artists have to venture on a guess with regard to the daughters, who were probably quite unlike the young women in either picture, yet authentic portraits of the daughters were indispensable to the historical value of the representation37.

In Munkácsy’s Defense

Earl Shinn (1838–1886), who studied at the Pennsylvania Academy of Fine Arts and École Nationale Supérieure des Beaux-Arts in Paris was one of those who responded to the criticism of the interior of Milton’s house as it was painted by Munkácsy. Shinn, who often wrote under the pseudonym Edward Strahan, turned a series of articles about private art collections in America into a book. In the late 1879 he devoted one of those articles to the Lenox Library’s art collection. More than half of it was about the newly acquired Munkácsy’s painting which he described as an exhibition of itself38.

Shinn made sure to disparage American art critics’ attitude to the painting and the artist. He criticized their questioning of its historical accuracy including such details as whether Milton might possibly have possessed a Flanders jug and tapestry furniture and whether Milton’s daughters could actually write. He did not agree that Munkácsy should have painted a Hungarian scene instead of an English one. He underlined that he was not interested in whether Munkácsy was a good archaeologist but rather in the artistic value of the painting which he summarized as follows:

The caressing light plays upon the figures of the poet and his three daughters, upon the faded furniture and dark dingy walls, as a composer plays upon his orchestra. No mere academician can get this sort of a triumph. It is one breath of truth and color and harmony and poesy, wrapping together in an imperial unity the different details of the scene.39

Perhaps the most ardent defender of Munkácsy’s *Blind Milton* was Mariana Griswold Van Rensselaer (1851–1934), a well-known and influential art and architecture critic.40 In her lengthy article she explained her stance on the issue as follows:

Munkácsy has not sinned, in altering the facts of Milton’s biography, to suit his artistic purposes, and to emphasize his artistic ideal, unless Shakespeare has sinned in numberless plays, and Goethe in Egmont, and Schiller in Mary Stuart, and the Maid of Orleans, and every artist in words or color who has been an artist, and not a mere copyist of the prosaic surfaces of actual things.41

An unsigned author who wrote for “The New York Times” agreed with Shinn and Rensselaer and went as far as stating that the interior as it was depicted by Munkácsy meant so much to the overall success of the painting. He argued that

The taste is wonderful that put together the withered hues of the old tapestry on the wall, the similar yet different tones of the cloth on the table around which the three daughters of Milton sit or stand, the shades of red in the back of the chair or the daughter who acts as amanuensis, those on the chair behind the table, and on the red velvet one to the extreme left, finally, and toward the foreground, on the reddish Turkey rug under the table. What a harmonious, peaceful interior!42

## Munkácsy after *Blind Milton*

Critical assessment of Munkácsy’s *Blind Milton* alone soon gave way to writings about his other works. During his later years, under the influence of Sedelmeyer, Munkácsy painted more large-scale canvases which could be exhibited on their own. His successful trilogy followed Bible subjects and included *Christ* 39 Ibidem.  
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*before Pilate* (1882), *Golgotha* (1884), and *Ecce Homo* (1896)\(^{43}\). They became known to the audience through multi-cities tours\(^{44}\), catalogs, and inexpensive reproductions\(^{45}\).

In the meantime, affluent Munkácsy travelled extensively in Italy, Spain, and Holland. In 1886 Sedelmeyer arranged a tour for him in the United States. The front-page news of Munkácsy’s arrival in New York rivalled the coverage reserved for a monarch’s state visit. President Grover Cleveland (1837–1908) received the painter at the White House and the Secretary of the Navy William C. Whitney (1841–1904) hosted a dinner for him in Washington. Celebrations in his honor were abundant\(^{46}\).

The same year Munkácsy painted a portrait of a Hungarian composer, pianist and conductor Franz Liszt (1811–1886), Wagner’s father in law who occasionally performed in Munkácsy’s home in Paris. The idea to produce this portrait was a few years old. In 1882 Liszt wrote in a letter that Munkácsy was to paint him in a tableau that would be a counterpart to the artist’s depiction of Milton. Liszt’s portrait, however, turned out to show him alone with no sign of compositional activity\(^{47}\). Despite that, it is considered one of the best portraits of Liszt ever painted\(^{48}\).

In 1899, an author and translator Nancy Regina Emily Meugens Bell (1844–1933), whose husband Arthur George Bell (1849–1916) was also a painter, described Munkácsy’s *Blind Milton* painting as his most beautiful perhaps of all. She went on to write about his work:

---

\(^{43}\) The first two paintings were purchased by an American merchant millionaire John Wanamaker (1838–1922) who paid the highest price ever paid for a painting in America at that time. In 1907, during the fire at his summer home in Lindenhurst, N.J. his butler cut the canvases of Munkácsy’s *Christ before Pilate* and *Christ on Golgotha* out of their frames and carried them out to safety before saving any other pieces of art. See: N.C. Kirk, *Wanamaker’s Temple: The Business of Religion in an Iconic Department Store*, New York 2018, pp. 146–147.

\(^{44}\) About two million people went to see *Christ before Pilate* in its three-year European tour of Vienna, Budapest, Warsaw, Berlin, Stockholm, Brussels, Amsterdam, Liverpool, Manchester, Leeds and Glasgow. See: C. Huemer, op. cit., p. 120. In 1899 *Ecce Homo* was exhibited in Dublin where it was viewed by James Joyce (1882–1941) who subsequently wrote an essay about it, one of his earliest art criticisms. See: M. Gula, *Reading the Book of Himself: James Joyce on Mihály Munkácsy’s Painting ‘Ecce Homo’*, “European Joyce Studies” 2013, vol. 22, pp. 47–60.


With but one or two exceptions, the works of the great Hungarian master are of a sad and tragic character, altogether wanting in the light of joy. The struggles of the artist’s boyhood, the intimate acquaintance he had with poverty and with privation of every kind, seem to have given a permanent tinge of melancholy to his character, or it may be that even in the midst of his great prosperity, he may have had a premonition of the clouds which were to obscure his mighty intellect and culminate in that death in life in which he still lingers, though the end is evidently not far off.

In early 1897 Munkácsy’s mental health had deteriorated so much that he was placed in an asylum in Bonn, Germany. In addition to his traumatic youth some claimed that it was his ardent devotion to his work that impacted his well-being. Munkácsy died 1 May 1900. Reports of his death and reflections on his life and art were published in numerous newspapers. He was buried in Budapest at the Kerepesi Cemetery after a grand funeral.

In his native Hungary he has remained to this day one of the best known national figures. Elsewhere, however, he fell into near obscurity shortly after his death. Perhaps those few studies about the painter which have appeared in English outside of Hungary only recently will revive the once huge interest in, and fascination about his work in the United States.

---

51 In 2005 there was an exhibit of some 120 of his works in Budapest. See: Munkácsy a nagyvilágban. Munkácsy Mihály művei külföldi és magyar magán- és közgyűjteményekben / Munkácsy in the World. Mihály Munkácsy’s Works in Private and Public Collections at Home and Abroad, ed. F. Gosztonyi, Budapest 2005. Hungarian National Gallery in Budapest has a separate gallery dedicated to his works.
52 L. Morowitz, op. cit., p. 186.
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![Blind Milton Dictating “Paradise Lost” to his Daughters](https://digitalcollections.nypl.org/items/66760d80-c7f1-0135-7e34-49d3fe482577)


2. Bust of Mihály Munkácsy by Louis-Ernest Barrias, 1878.
   New York Public Library, Edna Barnes Salomon Room.

![Bust of Mihály Munkácsy by Louis-Ernest Barrias](image-url)

Photography: Jonathan Blanc.


Source: Földvári Books.

**FINE ARTS.**

LENEX LIBRARY,
NO. 1,001 5TH-AV., 70TH AND 71ST STS.
The EXHIBITION OF PAINTINGS AND SCULPTURES will be open (free of charge) every week day in December, (excluding Christmas Day,) from 11 A. M. to P. M. On and after Tuesday, Jan. 6, 1880, it will open on Tuesday and Friday in each week during the same hours, until further notice.
The picture of "Blind Milton Dictating Paradise Lost: His Daughters," by Michael Munkacsy, presented to the Library by Mr. Robert Lenox Kennedy, has been placed in the gallery.
**\*\* For tickets, without which no person will be admitted, apply by postal card to the Superintendent, GEORGE H. MOORE, No. 1,001 5th-AV.


**LENOX LIBRARY.**

The exhibition of paintings and sculptures will be open (free of charge) on Thanksgiving Day from 10 A. M. to 2 P. M.
The picture of "Blind Milton Dictating ‘Paradise Lost’ to His Daughters," by Michael Munkacsy, presented to the Library by Mr. Robert Lenox Kennedy, has been placed in the gallery.
**\*\* For tickets, without which no person will be admitted, apply by postal card to the Superintendent, GEORGE H. MOORE, No. 1,001 5th-AV.


8. Portrait of Mihály Munkácsy. The author is not identified.

Source: Irta Malonyay Dezső, Munkácsy Mihály élete és munkái, Budapest 1898.
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